



Jornal de Pediatria

www.jpmed.com.br



EDITORIAL

Spinal muscular atrophy in Brazil: from individual treatment to global management

Q1 Laurent Servais ^{a,b,*}, Cristiane Araujo Martins Moreno ^c

^a Department of Paediatrics, University of Oxford, MDUK Oxford Neuromuscular Centre & NIHR Oxford Biomedical Research Centre, Oxford, UK

^b Department of Paediatrics, University and University Hospital of Liège, Neuromuscular Reference Centre, Liège, Belgium

^c Departamento de Neurologia, Faculdade de Medicina da Universidade de São Paulo (FMUSP), São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil

1 Spinal muscular atrophy (SMA) is an autosomal recessive
2 motor neuron disease. It presents clinically as progressive
3 muscle weakness, and in the most severe and common
4 cases, includes swallowing difficulties and respiratory insuf-
5 ficiency, often leading to death. Patients are classified into
6 five groups, from 0 to 4, based on their maximum motor abil-
7 ity and age of onset, which can range from in-utero to adult-
8 hood. Recent data from the US and EU indicate that SMA
9 affects 1 in 14,300 infants at birth¹ A recent newborn
10 screening program in the state of Minas Gerais, Brazil, iden-
11 tified 12 babies among 104,000 tested infants over six
12 months, suggesting a potentially higher prevalence in Brazil.
13 However, further follow-up would be necessary for stronger
14 statements.^{2,3}

15 In recent years, three disease-modifying treatments
16 (DMTs) have been approved worldwide, including in Brazil.
17 These treatments allow for dramatic improvement in lethality
18 and motor function, especially when initiated early in
19 the disease course. These drugs have all been studied in chil-
20 dren receiving standard care, and the most recent guidelines
21 emphasize the importance of multidisciplinary care along-
22 side DMT to maximize their efficacy.⁴

23 In this issue of *Jornal de Pediatria*, Albuquerque et al.⁵
24 present a single-center cohort study of 81 patients living
25 with SMA – both treated and untreated with DMT in Porto
26 Alegre, Brazil. The overwhelming majority of treated
27 patients received nusinersen, an intrathecally injected oli-
28 gonucleotide that increases SMN protein production from
29 the *SMN2* gene by modifying pre-mRNA splicing. Nusinersen

was the first drug approved in Brazil and has since been fol- 30
lowed by gene-replacement therapies, which six patients 31
received, and the oral splicing modifier risdiplam, received 32
by two patients. In the cohort, most SMA1 patients received 33
treatment, compared to one-third of the SMA2 patients and 34
10% of SMA3 patients. Consistent with findings in the litera- 35
ture, most patients experienced clinically significant 36
improvements, although later treatment initiation and 37
lower baseline motor function scores correlated with 38
reduced therapeutic response. The clinical and genetic 39
characteristics of the cohort were similar to those of other 40
countries, except that SMA3 was the most common subtype, 41
as previously observed in other Brazilian studies.⁶ 42

43 It is remarkable to see a child affected by a lethal condi-
44 tion not only surviving but also achieving new motor mile-
45 stones. Access to innovative treatments is a testament to a
46 country's consideration for its most vulnerable citizens/child-
47 ren affected by a rare and severe disease. However, the
48 impressive results observed in spinal muscular atrophy
49 should prompt reflection on the sustainability and reproduc-
50 ibility of these developments. Albuquerque and colleagues
51 highlighted that only half of the SMA2 patients in their study
52 received respiratory therapy, pointing to limited access to
53 non-pharmacological therapies in Brazil. This study illus-
54 trates the contrast between access to expensive innovative
55 therapies and limited access to standard care within a spe-
56 cialized center in southern Brazil—a contrast that becomes
57 even more pronounced when cost is considered. The official
58 cost of nusinersen in Brazil is R\$ 2.5 million for the first year,
59 with an annual maintenance cost of R\$ 1.3 million. Gene
60 therapy costs R\$ 8 million per single dose and judicializa-
61 tion⁷ of cases has given rise to a number of high-cost

* Corresponding author.

E-mail: laurent.servais@paediatrics.ox.ac.uk (L. Servais).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpmed.2024.11.001>

0021-7557/© 2024 Sociedade Brasileira de Pediatria. Published by Elsevier Editora Ltda. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Please cite this article in press as: L. Servais and C.A. Moreno, Spinal muscular atrophy in Brazil: from individual treatment to global management, *Jornal de Pediatria* (2024), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpmed.2024.11.001>

62 treatments delivered outside consensual clinical criteria. In
63 comparison, a physiotherapy session costs between R\$ 50.00
64 and R\$ 500.00, depending on the region or insurance cover-
65 age, meaning that the healthcare cost of a single dose of
66 gene therapy could provide physiotherapy three times per
67 week for approximately 20 children over 20 years. The main-
68 tenance phase cost of nusinersen for a single child could
69 cover physiotherapy for 86 infants. It is worth noting that
70 these DMT costs exclude expenses related to drug adminis-
71 tration, necessary ancillary exams, and management of
72 adverse effects, all of which vary based on regional guide-
73 lines and safety profiles.

74 This new reality, as illustrated in the paper by Albuquer-
75 que et al., is not unique to Brazil but is common in many rap-
76 idly growing countries that represent attractive markets for
77 the pharmaceutical industry but lack fully developed state-
78 funded medical infrastructure.

79 Innovative treatment should not be seen as a substitute
80 for standard care. All innovative treatments have been
81 developed in children receiving standard care, and the
82 absence of proper respiratory, nutritional, and physiother-
83 apy management may negate the benefit of the expensive
84 innovative therapies. Administration of DMT does not elimi-
85 nate the need for multidisciplinary care.

86 Fortunately, there is a solution to avoid exponential cost
87 increases. Several studies have shown a significant differ-
88 ence in treatment outcomes between children treated
89 before and after symptoms onset.⁸ Simply put, patients with
90 three copies of SMN2 identified at birth and treated immedi-
91 ately have a high likelihood of normal motor development.
92 The oldest of these children, now around six to seven years
93 old, are doing well.¹ In contrast, similar patients identified
94 by symptoms after a lengthy diagnostic journey generally
95 present with classical SMA2, characterized by a lack of
96 autonomous ambulation, scoliosis, and restrictive respira-
97 tory syndrome, which DMTs cannot reverse. Consequently,
98 direct and indirect costs are significantly higher for patients
99 treated after symptom onset.⁹ Findings reproduced across
100 studies globally have spurred the development of several
101 pilot and national newborn screening programs.¹⁰ Health
102 economic evaluation confirms that SMA NBS is a highly cost-
103 saving healthcare intervention in countries like Brazil,
104 where DMT are available.¹¹

105 Brazil, a country of around 200 million people (IBGE cen-
106 sus), currently includes only six diseases in its National new-
107 born screening program, though several pilot projects are
108 evaluating SMA's inclusion. However, disease inclusion in the
109 National program has historically been slow, in contrast to
110 the urgent need for early intervention in affected
111 patients.^{2,3}

112 Given the incidence of 1 in 8400 and an annual birth rate
113 of approximately 2.6 million, an estimated 309 children
114 with SMA are born each year in Brazil. Without newborn
115 screening, the additional costs for DMT and standard care—
116 including physiotherapy, MDT management, ventilation, sco-
117 liosis management, and wheelchair¹² could quickly become
118 unsustainable. Additionally, the healthcare system's capac-
119 ity to manage these patients with high needs and frequent
120 respiratory infections will be increasingly strained.

121 In this context, NBS is an urgent medical, economic and
122 ethical imperative. Developing standard care nationwide is
123 also essential, as investing heavily in DMT is nonsensical if

treated patients lack MDT and multi-professional manage- 124
ment. 125

The journey of innovative therapies in SMA provides valu- 126
able lessons for similar situations that may arise with other 127
conditions. Recent advances in treatments for Duchenne 128
Muscular Dystrophy, Rett Syndrome, Angelman syndrome, 129
and the approval of gene therapy for metachromatic leuko- 130
dystrophy open new possibilities for patients with severe, 131
progressive conditions.^{13,14} Through SMA, we have learned 132
that treatment is not a cure and should not be presented as 133
such to the community. Managing community expectations 134
can help avoid crowdfunding and lottery-based fundraising, 135
both ethically questionable mechanisms widely used in SMA. 136
We have learned that successful clinical development relies 137
on robust science, the availability of natural history data, 138
and well-validated outcome measures. We have also recog- 139
nized the importance of establishing standard care and 140
implementing NBS before launching an innovative DMT. 141
Finally, managing individual expectations is crucial to avoid 142
requests to add or switch therapies in patients responding 143
well, but below parental expectations. 144



By reporting real-world data from a tertiary Center in 145
Brazil, Albuquerque et al. conveys the joy of seeing children 146
survive a lethal disease like SMA1 and potentially become 147
productive adults and, hopefully, happy individuals. How- 148
ever, their findings should also prompt us to confront our col- 149
lective responsibility. The healthcare system must be 150
effective for the greatest number of people, and in an era of 151
high-cost therapies, the only way to achieve this is to proac- 152
tively develop standard care and NBS programs, enabling 153
DMT efficacy at an acceptable and sustainable cost-to-bene- 154
fit ratio. 155

Conflicts of interest 156

CAMM has nothing to declare. LS has given consultancy or 157
lectures for Biogen, Roche, Novartis, BioHaven, Scholar Rock 158
and Zentech. 159

References 160

1. Aragon-Gawinska K, Mouraux C, Dangouloff T, Servais L. Spinal 161
muscular atrophy treatment in patients identified by newborn 162
screening—a systematic review. *Genes (Basel)*. 2023;14:1377. 163
2. Giannetti J, Januário J, Milanez L, Sacramento A, Moura A, 164
Braga T, et al. Can 5q SMA neonatal screening be a sustainable 165
reality in middle-income countries? The experience of Minas 166
Gerais state in Brazil. *World Muscle Congress Meeting*. 2024: 167
2024.  168
3. Oliveira Netto AB, Brusius-Facchin AC, Lemos JF, Pasetto FB, 169
Brasil CS, Trapp FB, et al. Neonatal screening for spinal muscu- 170
lar atrophy: a pilot study in Brazil. *Genet Mol Biol*. 2023;46: 171
e20230126. 172
4. Zanoteli E, Araujo AP, Becker MM, Fortes CP, França Jr MC, 173
Machado-Costa MC, et al. Consensus from the Brazilian Acad- 174
emy of Neurology for the diagnosis, genetic counseling, and use 175
of disease-modifying therapies in 5q spinal muscular atrophy. 176
Arq Neuropsiquiatr. 2024;82:1–18. 177
5. de Albuquerque AL, Chadanowicz JK, Bevilacqua IP, Staub AL, 178
Winckler PB, da Silva PZ, et al. Clinicogenetic characterization 179
and response to disease-modifying therapies in spinal muscular 180

- 181 atrophy: real-world experience from a reference center in
 182 Southern Brazil. *J Pediatr (Rio J)*. 2024;S0021-7557
 183 (24):00126–8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpmed.2024.07.011>.
- 184 6. Mendonça RH, Matsui Jr C, Polido GJ, Silva AM, Kulikowski L,
 185 Torchio Dias A, et al. Intragenic variants in the SMN1 gene
 186 determine the clinical phenotype in 5q spinal muscular atrophy.
 187 *Neurol Genet*. 2020;6:e505.
- 188 7. Kretzschmar AK, Teixeira E, Galato D, Silva EN. Judicialization
 189 of Zolgensma in the Ministry of Health: costs and clinical profile
 190 of patients. *Rev Saude Publica*. 2024;58:36.
- 191 8. Weiß C, Becker L, Frieze J, Blaschek A, Hahn A, Illsinger S, et al.
 192 Efficacy and safety of gene therapy with onasemnogene abepar-
 193 vovec in children with spinal muscular atrophy in the D-A-CH
 194 region: a population-based observational study. *Lancet Reg
 195 Health Eur*. 2024;47:101092.
- 196 9. Dangouloff T, Hiligsmann M, Deconinck N, D'Amico A, Seferian
 197 AM, Boemer F, et al. Financial cost and quality of life of patients
 198 with spinal muscular atrophy identified by symptoms or new-
 199 born screening. *Dev Med Child Neurol*. 2023;65:67–77.
10. Vrščaj E, Dangouloff T, Osredkar D, Servais L. Newborn 200
 screening programs for spinal muscular atrophy worldwide in 201
 2023. *J Neuromuscular Dis*. 2024. <https://doi.org/10.1177/22143602241288095>. 
11. Dangouloff T, Thokala P, Stevenson MD, Deconinck N, D'Amico A, 204
 Daron A, et al. Cost-effectiveness of spinal muscular atrophy 205
 newborn screening based on real-world data in Belgium. *Neuro- 206
 muscul Disord*. 2024;34:61–7. 207
12. Dangouloff T, Botty C., Beaudart C., Servais L., Hiligsmann M. 208
 Systematic literature review of the economic burden of spinal 209
 muscular atrophy and economic evaluations of treatments. 210
Orphanet J Rare Dis. 202;16:47. 211
13. Laugwitz L, Mechtler TP, Janzen N, Oliva P, Kasper AR, Teunissen 212
 CE, et al. Newborn screening and presymptomatic treatment of 213
 metachromatic leukodystrophy. *N Engl J Med*. 2024. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMc2407165>. 
14. Markati T, Duis J, Servais L. Therapies in preclinical and clinical 216
 development for Angelman syndrome. *Expert Opin Investig 217
 Drugs*. 2021;30:709–20. 218