Jornal de Pediatria xxxx; xxx(xxx): 101467



# Iornal de Pediatria

www.jped.com.br



44

45

52

53

54

56

63

64

65

66

70

71

72

73

74

75

81

#### LETTER TO THE EDITOR

#### Beyond overdiagnosis: reframing autism prevalence through a neurodivergent phenotype lens

1 Dear Editor,

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

35

36

37

38

39

We read with great interest the review by Castro, Riesgo. **01**2 and Gadia (2025) [1], which offers a valuable critical analysis of the factors influencing the rising prevalence of Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD). The authors correctly highlight the role of methodological, clinical, and sociocultural determinants, and their synthesis is timely and important. We would, however, like to extend the discussion to a more fun-8 damental issue: the conceptual framework that underlies 9 current diagnostic systems. 10

The central concern, in our view, is that the categorical nosology on which ASD diagnosis relies may itself be driving both the perception of "overdiagnosis" and the persistence of underdiagnosis in certain groups. From a clinical perspective, what we observe is not multiple discrete disorders proliferating, but rather a single heterogeneous neurodivergent phenotype, variably expressed across individuals. Depending on which area of vulnerability ("desert") dominates-for instance, language, attention, or social reciprocity - the same phenotype may be labeled as ASD, ADHD, or another condition. Conversely, areas of strength ("islands") may obscure the underlying similarities across presentations.

This view suggests that the apparent "epidemic" of autism is, to a large extent, an artifact of how we draw diagnostic boundaries. The steep increase in prevalence rates may thus reflect not only earlier screening, improved awareness, or expanded criteria, but also the intrinsic limitations of categorical classification in capturing the complexity of neurodevelopmental variation [2,3].

Such concerns resonate with broader debates in psychiatry regarding the adequacy of categorical diagnoses as guides for research and treatment. The field of precision medicine has emphasized the need to move beyond rigid labels, arguing that psychiatric disorders are better understood through dimensional constructs that integrate genetic, neurobiological, and behavioral domains [4]. History also provides cautionary lessons: the rapid expansion of the diagnosis of pediatric bipolar disorder in the United States led to a sharp increase in the prescription of antipsychotics to children—a development now widely criticized as

the consequence of diagnostic inflation [5,6]. These exam- 41 ples underscore how nosological choices directly shape epi- 42 demiological curves, clinical practice, and even patterns of 43 pharmacological use.

Importantly, this challenge is not limited to childhood. Also, in adults with neurodivergent profiles, category-centered practice has often led to broad and imprecise treat- 47 ment strategies. Recent analyses in the bipolar spectrum 48 show that antipsychotic management should be guided by 49 phenotypic markers — excitability, sleep patterns, metabolic vulnerability—rather than by the diagnostic label alone [7]. This convergence suggests that a phenotype-first orientation is useful across the lifespan, not only in pediatric populations.

Dimensional and integrative models of neurodivergence 55 - which conceptualize developmental diversity as a spectrum of strengths and vulnerabilities — may offer a way forward. They could help reduce diagnostic inflation in borderline cases, avoid fragmentation of similar phenotypes 59 into competing categories, and support more personalized 60 and context-sensitive interventions. Importantly, this shift 61 would not deny the need for accurate diagnosis to ensure access to services; rather, it would help safeguard the clinical and social meaning of such diagnoses by anchoring them in a broader understanding of neurodiversity.

In conclusion, while overdiagnosis is an important concern, the deeper challenge lies in whether the current classificatory model is adequate. We encourage the journal to 68 foster further debate on frameworks that move beyond categorical labels toward a dimensional understanding of neurodivergent phenotypes.

Sincerely.

#### Declaration of generative AI and AI-assisted technologies in the manuscript preparation process

During the preparation of this work, the authors used 76 ChatGPT (OpenAI, San Francisco, CA, USA) to assist in lan- 77 guage editing and formatting in accordance with journal 78 guidelines. After using this tool, the authors reviewed and 79 edited the content, and take full responsibility for the content of the published article.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jped.2025.101467

0021-7557/© 2025 The Authors. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. on behalf of Sociedade Brasileira de Pediatria. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

## JID: JPED [mSP6P;October 28, 2025;13:55]

### S. Hauck and L.T. de Oliveira

32	Funding	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jped.2025.101439. Online ahead of print.	96 97
83	No specific funding was received for this work.	2. Maenner MJ, Shaw KA, Bakian AV, Bilder DA, Durkin MS, Esler A,	98
33	no specific fullding was received for this work.	et al. Prevalence and characteristics of autism spectrum disorder	99
		among children aged 8 years—autism and developmental disabil-	100
2.4	Data availability	ities monitoring network, 11 sites, United States, 2020. MMWR	101
54	Data availability	Surveill Summ. 2023;72(2):1–14.	102
85	The data that support the findings of this study are available	3. Fombonne E. Editorial: is autism overdiagnosed? J Child Psychol	103
36 36	from the corresponding author.	Psychiatry. 2023;64(5):711–4.	104
50	from the corresponding author.	4. Insel TR, Cuthbert BN. Brain disorders? Precisely. Science.	105
		2015;348(6234):499–500.	106
	Conflicts of interest	5. Hyman SE. The diagnosis of mental disorders: the problem of	107
37	Conflicts of interest	reification. Annu Rev Clin Psychol. 2010;6:155–79.	108
	The south and declare as a sufficient of interest	6. Frances A. The new crisis of confidence in psychiatric diagnosis.	109
88	The authors declare no conflict of interest.	Ann Intern Med. 2013;159(3):221–2.	110
		7. Fornaro M, Miola A, De Berardis D, Squassina A, Manchia M, Solmi	111
		M. Perspectives on precision psychiatry using antipsychotics in the management of bipolar disorder. Brain Sci. 2025;15(5):430.	112 113
89	Acknowledgments	the management of bipotal disorder. Brain 3ci. 2023, 13(3).430.	113
90	None.	Simone Hauck 🔘 a,b,*, Luciana Terra de Oliveira 🔘 a,b	<b>Q2</b> 4
		3.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1	
		<sup>a</sup> Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul (UFRGS),	115
91	Editor	Programa de Pós-Graduação em Psiquiatria e Ciências	116
91	Laitoi	Comportamentais, Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil	117
92	R. Soibelmann Procianoy	<sup>b</sup> Hospital de Clínicas de Porto Alegre (HCPA), Laboratório	118
92	K. Solbetmann rocianoy	de Psiquiatria Psicodinâmica, Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil	119
		*Corresponding author.	120
	Poforoncos	E-mail: hauck.simone@gmail.com (S. Hauck).	121
93	References	2 mart haden simone eginaricom (5. Haden).	122
94	1. Castro K, Riesgo R, Gadia C. Autism spectrum disorder: overdiag-	Received 23 September 2025; Accepted 24 September 2025	123
94 95	nosis or a new pandemic? J Pediatr (Rio J). 2026;102(S1):101439.	Available online xxx	123
,,	110515 6. 4 11011 paracime. 5 1 calada (1110 5). 2020, 102(51). 101457.	Available offine AAA	124